

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/552,986	BREKKE, TOR	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CASSEY D. BAUER	3784	

**All Participants:**

(1) CASSEY D. BAUER.

(2) John Haran.

**Date of Interview:** 12 July 2011

**Status of Application:** Non-finally rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Approx 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Liberman and Birdseye

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CASSEY D BAUER/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the attorney to discuss adding language from dependent claims 22 or 30 which were deemed to contain allowable subject matter into the independent claims as an examiner's amendment which would put the application in condition for allowance. .